

From: Sharon A. deGaspé Power [<mailto:s.degaspepower@gmail.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, July 22, 2014 10:19 AM
To: Marie-Louise Frechette
Subject: Havelock concerns regarding a new Tower on Covey Hill

Dear Mme Frechette

I am part of a group of citizens who lives in Havelock, on the cote of Covey Hill. We were only apprised of construction of a new tower on Covey Hill in late April. We attended our Town Hall Meeting on May the 5th. We asked our council members many questions about this new development, many of which they were not able to answer, only that a company had approached them to build a tower on property which was owned by them and which was zoned for telecommunications. In fact, at that meeting we learned that SBA had made application to CPTAQ for investigation in March. The implication of the report rendered by CPTAQ was that the citizens were aware of this development and that CPTAQ gave 30 days for comments or questions. Of course no one was aware of this change, there was no public notice published at that time. Consequently, no comments could possibly have been forthcoming. At that May 5th meeting, we inquired as to whether a construction permit had been granted. The answer was no, it had not yet been granted.

We then proceeded to request to have an information session which based on the Amendments of the CPC-2-0-03 was part of the Public Consultation process. This was told to me by M. Robert Regimbald when I first spoke with him. At that time, our Council granted us a Resolution that we would have our information session and this within 30 days following your request for a construction permit; no info session, no permit. Your response was that there would be no Public Consultation and no information session. For some reason, the minutes of that meeting altered the wording: that the Town requested to have an information session for its citizenry but the resolution was granted to you, SBA, to proceed with the construction of the tower for which they issued you the permit.

This is our community. We wish to have an information session. We wish to have an OPEN public consultation , not some 'one on one' communication wherein no-one gets the full picture of your proposal.

I wish to inform you that as a result of your refusing to grant us our simple request, we proceeded and put out a petition with the guidance of our Member of Parliament, Députée Mme. Ann Minh Thu Quach. It was registered and certified and presented to the House of Commons prior to summer recess. There were 322 signatories.

We recognize that our 2 major departments, Health Canada sustained by Safety Code 6 and Industry Canada sustained by CPC 2-0-03 have worded their regulations such that neither party will provide a definitive responsibility regarding the health issues. We need answers. Because you, SBA are putting up this tower, we again ask you host an information session for our community.

Thanking you in advance

Sincerely

Sharon deGaspé Power
Havelock, QC.
450-827-2210

Sharon A. deGaspé Power
Havelock, QC.
450.827.2210