Roxiane Engineer

90 Berwick Cres.,

Richmond Hill, Ontario

L4C0B7

July 9, 2014

Dear Politicians,

I have written repetitively about my concerns on a number of issues of which none seem to be resolved to my satisfaction.  I am a teacher & a cancer survivor.  I do not appreciate the excessive radiation now coming my way via WIFI in the workplace.  I have already had a lifetime limit of radiation courtesy of cancer treatment.  This poses a safety hazard to me as well as all my students.  These are some of the issues that need to be resolved:

1. Health Canada “will consider comments related to the scientific and technical aspect of Safety Code 6 in the development of the final revised guideline”. To date, Health Canada has not followed international best practices in its updates of SC6. This includes:
2. Listing the studies included and excluded [with reasons], evidence tables, grading of study quality to permit meaningful public participation to ensure a “rigorous, transparent” review
3. For the weight of evidence, adapting and adopting a framework such as that proposed by the US National Toxicology Program with complete transparency on assumptions, interpretations and decisions
4. Review the original research publications, not just review articles
5. Ensure the panel has the mandate, capability and resources to validate and further update the literature searches;
6. For the first time, publish a monograph from the SC6 update.
7. Why was the following statement removed from the 2009 update to SC6? **"Certain members of the general public may be more susceptible to harm from RF and microwave exposure."**
8. The model for evaluating radiation exposure is a **200 lb. male mannequin**. What scientific evidence is there that this provides safety for children and fetuses?
9. SC6 was created in **1979 to protect workers and visitors to federal buildings**. What scientific proof is there to justify expanding this to cell towers and antennae? Smart meters? Wi-Fi? Cell and portable phones? Baby monitors? Game consoles? Tablets? Wireless: laptops, printers, mice, keyboards, speakers? Wireless appliances? Microwave ovens? Personal wireless accessories?
10. SC6 is based on heating tissue after **6 minutes** exposure? How is that relevant to today’s constant exposure?
11. In terms of transparency, where is the timely disclosure of the interests of all panel members and HC scientists involved in the revision?
12. No one is responsible to monitor any wireless device once it is installed (Wi-Fi router, cell tower) or shipped to market. How can SC6 protect Canadians from the **cumulative effect** of wireless devices?

What are you doing to protect the health of the public and the children?  Where are the studies that prove this is safe for children? I have already seen the 16 X 9 expose on how Health Canada has not done the required background research before inflicting this technology on the public. There is a condition called EMF sensitivity which causes debilitating conditions in a significant population.   I urge you to do the right thing for Canadians & investigate this technology properly & remove the unsafe elements.

Roxiane Engineer